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#### Abstract

In this study, the effects of transition metal dichalcogenide, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer formation between the $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{ZnSnS}_{4}$ (CZTS) absorber layer and Mo back contact in a conventional CZTS thin film solar cell (TFSC) structure have been studied by numerical simulation using wxAMPS-1D software. The goal of this study is to elucidate the effects of both $n$ and p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ on the overall CZTS solar cell's performance from the viewpoint of metal-semiconductor junction and heterojunction band alignment. Interestingly, CZTS device, regardless of p or n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ largely outperforms device without any $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ due to lower back contact barrier value. Significant transition in efficiency is noticed when acceptor (increases efficiency) or donor (decreases efficiency) concentration has a transition from $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ to higher concentration of $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ or more. Also, effect of variable electron affinity and band gap of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ has been discussed from band alignment perspective. Generally, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer with lower electron affinity and band gap is preferred to induce desirable band alignment and subsequently result in higher efficiency. All-in all, the formation of p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in CZTS solar cells can be tuned to improve the cell performance mainly by doping with higher acceptor doping concentration and limiting layer thickness. However, the detrimental effect of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ can be prevented by maintaining thinner layer in the vicinity of $\sim 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ with low to moderate donor doping ( $<10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ ).


## 1. Introduction

$\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{ZnSnS}_{4}$ (CZTS) thin film solar cell (TFSC) is an emerging and potential candidate for carbon free electricity generation of the future. The p-type kesterite absorber layer is made up of copper ( Cu ), zinc $(\mathrm{Zn})$, tin (Sn) and sulphur (S) elements, which are earth-abundant and nontoxic (Katagiri, 2005). Hence CZTS TFSC is a promising candidate as a low-cost replacement of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Ga}) \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ (CIGS) TFSC that incorporates expensive rare-earth elements such as indium (In) and gallium (Ga). Cuchalcopyrite, especially the CIGS TFSC has achieved the highest power conversion efficiency (PCE) of nearly $22.9 \%$ compared to $11.5 \%$ in case of CZTS TFSC (Green et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2017). Some main challenges such as undesirable band alignment at the CZTS/buffer heterointerface, unwanted secondary and ternary phases in the CZTS absorber layer, and the presence of an interfacial type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer at Mo/CZTS have been long attributed as performance limiting factors of this
quaternary TFSCs (Chelvanathan et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2016; Scragg et al., 2012; Wätjen et al., 2013). One of the key research findings in the CIGS TFSCs is the ability of CIGS to make ohmic contact with the Mo back contact (Abou-Ras et al., 2005). The formation of the p-type interfacial $\mathrm{MoSe}_{2}$ film with thickness in the range of a few tens of nm is found to facilitate the development of ohmic contact, which is important to reduce series resistance, $R_{s}$. Similar beneficial aspect of $\mathrm{MoSe}_{2}$ have been verified by numerical modelling of Mo back contact in Se-containing CZTSe devices (Cozza et al., 2016). On the other hand, unintentional formation of interfacial $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer is commonly observed in practical CZTS devices due to the spontaneous reaction between $S$ and Mo back contact.
$\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ thin films have been reported to exhibit both p or n-type conductivity. However, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ has a natural propensity to possess n-type semiconducting characteristics due to the presence native defects of S vacancies which acts as deep donor (Brahim et al., 2016; Yang et al.,
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2015; Wei et al., 2018). By incorporation of suitable dopants such as phosphorous (Nipane et al., 2016), oxygen (Neal et al., 2017), and niobium (Laskar et al., 2014; Suh et al., 2014) as substitutional acceptors, p-type semiconducting characteristics in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer have been reported. However, the electrical conductivity nature of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer which is sandwiched between Mo and CZTS in CZTS TFSCs has not been conclusively determined by any experimental method so far. Although, the conductivity of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ grown by sulfurization of Mo thin film has been conclusively determined as the n-type (Dhakal et al., 2015). In addition to this, inferring from the outcome of our preceding numerical study, formation of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is most likely to be responsible for the commonly observed high $R_{s}$ and low fill factor (FF) (Puvaneswaran et al., 2012). Several attempts to inhibit or passivate this interfacial layer through an insertion of thin intermediate layer such as $\mathrm{SnS}, \mathrm{ZnO}, \mathrm{TiN}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, or preferential heat treatment of Mo back contact have been stated (Chelvanathan et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). However, no practical experimental effort has been studied yet to tailor or induce p-type conductivity in the interfacial $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer in order to engineer favorable ohmic charge carrier transport mimicking the adventitious p-MoSe ${ }_{2}$ in CIGS devices.

Therefore, as an extension of our previous study (Puvaneswaran et al., 2012), this work primarily focuses on the comparative investigation on the effects of p-type and n-type interfacial layers of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in the CZTS TFSCs. Since electronic band structure properties mainly electron affinity $(\chi)$ and band gap $\left(E_{g}\right)$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ are profoundly dependent on the layer thickness (Komsa and Krasheninnikov, 2015), both monolayers and bulk structured $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ are considered in this numerical analysis. Detailed $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ band alignment configurations are constructed for all simulated cases and pertinent interface related electronic properties are calculated. We numerically show that n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer with low donor density acts as a considerably benign component, whereas, p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer generally induces beneficial back contact carrier transport characteristics and subsequently improve the CZTS TFSCs performance. The key outcomes outlined in study is expected to initiate experimental efforts to incorporate p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ as back contact buffer layer in CZTS TFSC and other emerging $S$ containing absorber layer with similar electronic band structure properties.

## 2. Methodology

In this numerical study, wxAMPS-1D software is used to simulate the effects of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer formation in the $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS} / \mathrm{CdS} / \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ZnO} /$ Al substrate type configured TFSC. Information about wxAMPS-1D is given elsewhere (Hossain et al., 2018) while the structure of CZTS TFSC is similar to the conventional CIGS's structure as used in the literature (Amin et al., 2010; Chelvanathan et al., 2017; Hossain et al., 2013). Formation of interfacial $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer is expected to predominantly influence the electronic band alignment at the metal/semiconductor junction of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and semiconductor/semiconductor heterojunction of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$. The band structure of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ varies with multilayer thickness, transitioning from $\sim 1.98 \mathrm{eV}$ direct $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ in a single layer to $\sim 1.27 \mathrm{eV}$ indirect $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ in multilayer and bulk presentation (Yim et al., 2014; Dileep et al., 2016). Decoupling of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layers upon increasing the number of layers causes significant changes in the shapes of valence and conduction bands. These remarkable changes of the band structure are directly related to the orbital composition of involved electronic states (Yazyev and Kis, 2015). In general, transport and optoelectrical properties of the host material are profoundly influenced by lattice point defects like vacancies and interstitials, which would act as very efficient traps for electrons, holes, and excitons. Radiative recombination of excitons that are bounded to defects leads to light emission at energies lower than the band-to-band optical transition energy. Such interactions become stronger in reduced dimensionalities due to tighter localization of the electron wave function. These defects have been
explained by various techniques like electron spin resonance (Daniele et al., 2016) or observation on phase diagram (Reale et al., 2016). Depending on the number of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layers, the associated defect density lies between $6 \times 10^{11}$ and $3 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (Tongay et al., 2013), which shows the high purity in this ultrathin ( $<10 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) two-dimensional material. However, the evolution of a new extrinsically induced defect state in the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ brings to light essentially unexplored opportunities for modifying the optoelectronic properties through control of defect density, type, and distribution (Chow et al., 2015).

The experimentally reported $\chi$ in bulk $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ crystals is about 4.0 eV (Schlaf et al., 1999), and it is known that an enlarged $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ with decreased $\chi$ is expected in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with decreasing number of layers (Qiu et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2013). However, the calculated $\chi$ of monolayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is 4.27 eV (Gong et al., 2013), which reflects the challenges in calculating and measuring the absolute positions of energy bands using first principles computations and experiments, respectively. Overall, reported values for the $\chi$ in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ range from 3.74 to 4.45 eV (Howell et al., 2015), depending on $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ thickness and measurement techniques. Similarly, a large range of values for dielectric constant is found, which is dependent on the outcomes of different experiments and methods of modeling (from 2.5 to 17 (Dashora et al., 2013; Santos and Kaxiras, 2013)). Dielectric constant increases with the thickness of the thin films and these values for 8 or higher numbers of layers are comparable with the bulk material values, suggesting that a film of 8 layers (thickness of $\sim 4.9 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is sufficient for economical fabrication of solar cells (Dashora et al., 2013). Literature survey reveals that mobility values, which are often used to gauge the quality of the material and devices, can vary from 1 to $34,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Cui et al., 2015; Kappera et al., 2014). The large deviation in mobility values arises from intrinsic challenges of forming good ohmic contacts and large discrepancies in device fabrication and measurement procedures. Another important aspect of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is the ability to be doped with a shallow acceptor or donor level, respectively. In experiment, controllable and stable doping process is the major device-related challenge for $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ since it influences other parameters (Wang et al., 2017).

With all that being said, it is clear that optoelectrical properties of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is highly dependent on the layer thickness, deposition methods, and measurement techniques. Thus, defining precise material properties based on the variation of thickness is very complex and subjective. We assumed that all material properties of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer in the range of $10-300 \mathrm{~nm}$ thickness are similar to the bulk mode of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ while distinct material properties are adopted for monolayer $\operatorname{MoS}_{2}$. Absorption coefficients of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ were generated by the software's model and cutoff frequency was estimated based on the optical $E_{g}$ value. Defect states degrade the optoelectronic quality and any changes in their values would affect optoelectronic properties. Since the electronic band alignment can be profoundly changed by simply altering electronic properties in wxAMPS-1D, adding appropriate defect's parameters rather than a constant value will further compound the changes in the overall energy band line-up, which is not the scope this work and will be investigated in our future work. Hence, in order to solely accentuate the effects of carrier concentration, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, and $\chi$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ on the PCE, open circuit voltage ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ ), short circuit current $\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)$, and FF of the simulated device, defect-free layers are assumed in this study. Furthermore, CdS/ CZTS interface recombination, CZTS bandgap narrowing, and short diffusion length phenomena are not incorporated in this simulation with the aim of masking the influence of the aforementioned material and device characteristics from the numerical outcome. For detailed explanation on effects of the aforesaid phenomena on the CZTS device, readers are encouraged to peruse the work from Frisk et al. (2016). The first part of this simulation study elucidates the combinatorial effects of variable carrier concentration from $10^{13}$ to $10^{19} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and thickness from monolayer to bulk for both $n$ and $p$ type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. On the other hand, hypothetical study based on variation of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$ from 1.2 to 1.7 eV and 3.9 to 4.6 eV for both n and p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ due to the reported difficulties to measure $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$ (Howell et al., 2015; Shahahmadi et al.,

Table 1
Material electronic properties used in simulation.

| Interface Parameters | Front |  |  | Back |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrier height $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{bn}}=0$ | CdS | CZTS | 0.23 | 0.5 | 0.6-(-0.1) | 0.5 |
| Electron surface recombination Velocity, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $10^{7}$ |  |  | $10^{7}$ |  | $10^{7}$ | $10^{7}$ |
| Hole surface recombinationVelocity, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{h}}\left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $10^{7}$ |  |  | $10^{7}$ |  | $10^{7}$ | $10^{7}$ |
| Reflectivity | 0.05 |  |  | 0.80 |  | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Layer parameters | ZnO |  |  | $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Layer thickness (nm) | 200 | 50 | 2500 | 0.615 | 10-300 | 50 | 50 |
| Dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 9 | 10 | 13.5 | 2.45 | 12.61 | 12.61 | 12.61 |
| Electron mobility, $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |
| Hole mobility, $\mu_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}\right)$ | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  | 25 | 25 |
| Acceptor concentration, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 0 | 0 | $10^{18}$ | $10^{13}-10^{19}$ |  | $10^{16} \& 10^{18}$ | $10^{16} \& 10^{18}$ |
| Donor concentration, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $10^{18}$ | $10^{18}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Band gap, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | 3.30 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.98 | 1.27 | $1.27$ |  |
| Effective density of states in Conduction band, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ |  | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ |
| Effective density of states in Valence band, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ |  | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{19}$ |
| Electron affinity, $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.21 | 4.27 | 4 | $3.9-4.6$ | 4 |

2015) are presented in the remaining last part. The optimum thickness and carrier concentration are obtained from the first part while the explorative outcomes of the hypothesis are derived from last part, respectively. Material electronic parameters used in this study are given as listed in Table 1.

Interface electronic properties such as back contact barrier height with respect to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}\right)$, back contact barrier height with respect to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ ), equilibrium contact potential $\left(\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$, conduction band offset, CBO $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, valence band offset, VBO ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ), and back diode built-in voltage $\left(\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}\right)$ were calculated using the Eqs. (1)-(7). It is noteworthy that the CBO and VBO were calculated according to Anderson's rule (Anderson, 1960).
$\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{Mo}}-\chi_{\mathrm{s}}$
$\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}-\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$
$\mathrm{q} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{Mo}}-\Phi_{\mathrm{s}} ;$ for $\mathrm{n}-$ type semiconductor/metal junction
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CZTS}}-\chi_{\mathrm{MoS}_{2}} ;$ for $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}=\left(\chi_{\mathrm{MoS}_{2}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gMoS}}^{2}\right) ~-\left(\chi_{\mathrm{CZTS}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gCZTS}}\right) ;$ for $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ /CZTS heterojunction
$q V_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{MoS}_{2}}-\Phi_{C Z T S} ;$ for $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction
whereby, the $\Phi_{\mathrm{Mo}}$ is the Mo metal work function while $\Phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the work function of the semiconductor. The $\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}$ of n and p-type semiconductors was calculated according to Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively.
$\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}=\chi_{\mathrm{s}}+\left(\mathrm{kTln} \frac{N c}{N_{D}}\right)$; for n - type semiconductor
$\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}=\chi_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}-\left(\mathrm{kT} \ln \frac{N_{V}}{N_{A}}\right) ;$ for $\mathrm{p}-$ type semiconductor
whereby, $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}$ are electron charge, Boltzmann constant, temperature, donor concentration, acceptor concentration, effective density of states in the conduction band and effective density of states in the valence band, respectively. The metal-semiconductor junction can either be ohmic or rectifying depending on the relative magnitude of work function ( $\Phi$ ) of metal and semiconductor (Sze and Ng , 2006). For a Schottky Mo/p-type semiconductor structure, $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the potential barrier retarding hole transport from the semiconductor valence band to metal, meanwhile for a Schottky Mo/n-type semiconductor structure, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the potential barrier retarding electron
transport from the semiconductor conduction band to metal. Values for $\mathrm{q} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are always positive for Schottky type contact and negative for ohmic type contact. Negative (positive) $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ indicates the opposite (same) polarity of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction with respect to the front primary CdS/CZTS heterojunction diode $\left(\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {front }}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{CZTS}}-\Phi_{\mathrm{CdS}}\right)$. During solar cell operation under illumination, the conventional charge carrier transport mechanism is as described. Photo-generated electrons in the quasi-neutral region (QNR) of p-CZTS absorber layer initially diffuse towards the CdS/CZTS heterojunction and then drift across the depletion region due to the built-in electric field and finally are collected in the front electrodes. The collected electrons are then supplied to an external circuit whereby power dissipation occurs and finally return to the solar cell via the Mo back contact. Photo-generated holes in the QNR of p-CZTS absorber layer diffuse towards the Mo back contact and recombine with the incoming electrons from the external circuit. Hence, the inclusion of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer in between Mo and CZTS is expected to alter the charge carrier transport dynamics in the back contact region of CZTS TFSC. Although, both $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ can be calculated (essentially to accentuate the $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}+\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ relationship) for a particular type of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ structure, only one of these parameters is crucially affecting the nature of electron transport across the metalsemiconductor junction. For a $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ metal-semiconductor junction, since the majority carriers in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ are holes in the valence band, $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ is the crucial interface electronic parameter, which determines the ease of transport of incoming electrons from the Fermi level of Mo to the valence band of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. For a $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ metal-semiconductor junction, since the majority carriers in $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ are electrons in the conduction band, $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ is the crucial interface electronic parameter, which determines the ease of transport of incoming electrons from the Fermi level of Mo to the conduction band of $n-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. On the other hand, the ease of transport of holes from the valence band of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ to Mo metal is determined by the $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ parameter.

## 3. Results and discussion

$\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{CZTS} / \mathrm{CdS} / \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ZnO} / \mathrm{Al}$ TFSC without any interfacial $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer is set as a baseline case in this study. The photovoltaic performance parameters for this baseline configuration are $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}=0.43 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{sc}}=33.1 \mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, $\mathrm{FF}=78.7 \%$ and $\mathrm{PCE}=11.2 \%$. The obtained result is considerably lower than most of the simulated cases with $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer primarily due to large work function of CZTS, $\Phi_{\text {CZTS }}>\Phi_{\text {Mo, }}$, which results in high back contact barrier $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ of 1.21 eV and high contact potential $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ of 1.14 eV . In the next few subsequent sub-sections, device performance and characteristics of CZTS TFSC with p and n - type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with variable carrier concentration, layer thickness, $\chi$, and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ are discussed.


Fig. 1. Contour graphs of CZTS TFSC performance parameters dependency on $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ carrier concentration and layer thickness variables.
(i) Effects of carrier concentration and layer thickness

Figs. 1 and 2 represent contour graphs of CZTS TFSCs performance parameters dependency on p and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ carrier concentration and layer thickness variables, respectively. The effect of carrier concentration from $10^{13}$ to $10^{19} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and thickness from 10 to 300 nm are probed to explore the device performance.

As shown, the graphs depict $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ dependency on the variables of both types of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layers demonstrate fairly contrasting results. The formation of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ results in higher $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ with values ranging from 0.78 to 0.86 V . It is observed that the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer performs better in terms of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}>10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ in the range of $10-100 \mathrm{~nm}$ and beyond this range, the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ has to be preserved above $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ to maintain the maximum attainable $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$. At $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}<10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {oc }}$ minutely decreases in either very thin layers below $\sim 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ or more than $\sim 40 \mathrm{~nm}$. On the other hand, n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ results in inferior $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ extending from 0.2 to 0.8 V in the investigated range (Fig. 2(a)). In contrast to $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, a lower $N_{D}$ is favorable in this case, and the increase in the thickness from 10 to 300 nm results $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ of 0.7 V at the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $10^{18}$ to $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The highest $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}(\sim 0.85 \mathrm{~V})$ is obtained at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}<10^{17}$ in the low range of thickness specially in the vicinity of $\sim 20 \mathrm{~nm}$. Variation in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ carrier concentration significantly affects the band alignment at the $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS junctions. Fig. 3 illustrates the equilibrium band alignments for $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ structure for p and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in monolayer and bulk configurations. The calculated interface and band alignment related electronic parameters for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in
the investigated carrier concentration range are tabulated in Tables 2 and 3 , respectively.

As can be noted, $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}, \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ parameters are constant regardless of the variation in density of carrier concentration of p and n $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. Hence, the resulting device performance is solely a composite function of $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ parameters. Generally, for $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ band alignment, lower negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ or higher positive $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ and lower $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are desirable for hole transport across valence band of CZTS to valence band of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and from valence band of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ to Mo metal. Increase in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ results in higher $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and lower negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ parameters for p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ as shown in Table 2. Increase of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in p$\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ results in comparatively beneficial band bending for both conduction band ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) and valence band ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) after the junction is formed. As the $N_{A}$ increases, fermi level ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) shifts downwards resulting in increasing ease of hole flow from CZTS to $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. It also increases electron flow inhibition from CZTS to $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and thus, reducing carrier recombination at back contact. Hence, higher $N_{A}$ results in higher $V_{\text {oc }}$ due to the favorable band alignment at the back contact. In contrast for $n$ $\operatorname{MoS}_{2}$, higher $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}$ induced higher negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ drives the minority carriers (electrons), which are photo-generated in $n-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ junction towards back contact, thus resulting in enhanced electron current. The increased electron current contribution to the forward diode subsequently reduces the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ (Pan et al., 2006). As shown in Fig. 2(a) and inset (2) in Fig. 3, the decrement in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ is more pronounced for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ greater than $10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.

The $J_{s c}$ shows very insignificant variation for the different


Fig. 2. Contour graphs of CZTS TFSC performance parameters dependency on $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ carrier concentration and layer thickness variables.
combinations of carrier concentration and thickness for both cases of $p$ and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layers. The abrupt transition of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}$, which was reported by Niemegeers et al. (1995) due to the absorber's properties, is not observed in this study. Even within the small changes in the $J_{s c}$ values, the phenomenon of higher carrier concentration and lower thickness are preferred for p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ while lower values in both carrier concentration and thickness are desired for its counterpart. Generally, photocurrent is directly proportional to various factors for instance electric charge of electron, cross-sectional area of p-n heterojunction, generation rate of electron-hole pair, diffusion length of minority carriers in the p and n -side, and depletion width of the main p -absorber layer/n-buffer layer heterojunction (Hossain et al., 2018). These factors are not affected significantly in our simulation although, the $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ follows the transition based on band alignment at the p-n heterojunctions.

Ideally, FF is only a function of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ (Green, 1981). However, basically FF does not only depend on the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}$ but also the recombination processes in the depletion region. Higher FF is observed for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ ranging from 0.855 to 0.875 compared to $n$-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ ranging from 0.6 to 0.85 . The overall trend is similar to the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ results. The only notable difference is observed in $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in which the highest range of FF is shifted towards $\sim 40-60 \mathrm{~nm}$ thickness. Finally, PCE $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}} \times \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}} \times \mathrm{FF}$ equation suggests that PCE is an amalgamation of the three output parameters discussed above and based on the significance of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ and FF variation in this study, an accustomed trend is observed. Lower performance for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is found in both thicker and thinner layers at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}<10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (right and left-bottom zone of contour plot), whereas
for the $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, lower $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is preferred for higher device efficiency. Naturally, it is found that p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is superior to n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ within the range of investigation. PCE varied from 22 to $25 \%$ for p-type whereas n-type ranged from 5 to $20 \%$. As found, p- $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ prefers higher $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(>10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$ and n-type requires lower $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(<10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$, independent of the layer thickness. Interestingly, at carrier concentration $<10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, the PCE for p - and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layers is decreased slightly from thickness of $\sim 20$ to $\sim 10 \mathrm{~nm}$. This reduction becomes more substantial once the thickness of p - or $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ reduced to 0.61 nm (monolayer thickness). Inclusion of monolayer p- or $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in CZTS TFSC results in zero PCE due to large values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$, which in turn, forms high barrier height $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ of 1.75 eV . Consequently, we found that monolayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is very detrimental for CZTS TFSC due to unfavorable band alignment at the $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ junction, although from practical point of view this drawback could be negated through carrier tunneling effect (Yang et al., 2015).

## (ii) Effects of band gap and electron affinity

Based on the aforesaid results, variation in the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$ are investigated at two significant domains of carrier concentration values of $10^{16}$ and $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for both types of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ while the layer thickness is set 50 nm . In regards to $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ metal-semiconductor junction and $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$ of both types of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ crucially determine $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}, \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ as given in Eqs. (1) and (2) and (5) and (6). Consequently, the overall $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ band alignment


Fig. 3. Equilibrium band alignment of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ in various configurations (a) isolated monolayer $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ (b) isolated bulk MoS (c) $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{monolayer} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS} 2 /$ CZTS (d) Mo/bulk p-MoS 2 /CZTS (e) Mo/monolayer n-MoS ${ }_{2}$ /CZTS (f) Mo/bulk n-MoS ${ }_{2} /$ CZTS. Inset (1) and (2) represent the effects of carrier concentration in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS band alignment obtained from simulation results.

Table 2
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ for various $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ of mono-layer and bulk p-MoS ${ }_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \text { mono-layer } \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} \\ & 10^{13}-10^{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ bulk $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $10^{13}$ | $10^{14}$ | $10^{15}$ | $10^{16}$ | $10^{17}$ | $10^{18}$ | $10^{19}$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.23 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 1.75 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV ${ }_{\text {o }}$ | 1.37714-1.73478 | 0.39714 | 0.45675 | 0.51635 | 0.57596 | 0.63557 | 0.69518 | 0.75478 |
| p-MoS $/$ / CZTS | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | -0.06 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| p-MoS $/$ /CZTS | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.54 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 |
| p-MoS $/$ /CZTS | $\mathrm{qV}_{\text {biback }}$ | 0.24196-0.59961 | -0.73804 | -0.67843 | -0.61882 | -0.55921 | -0.49961 | -0.44000 | -0.38039 |

Table 3
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ for various $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of mono-layer and bulk $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \text { mono-layer } \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} \\ & 10^{13}-10^{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ Bulk $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $10^{13}$ | $10^{14}$ | $10^{15}$ | $10^{16}$ | $10^{17}$ | $10^{18}$ | $10^{19}$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.23 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 1.75 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV 。 | -0.08845 to 0.26920 | 0.18155 | 0.24116 | 0.30077 | 0.36037 | 0.41998 | 0.47959 | 0.53920 |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | -0.06 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.54 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 | -0.44 |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -1.04673 to -1.40437 | $-1.31673$ | $-1.37634$ | $-1.43594$ | -1.49555 | $-1.55516$ | $-1.61477$ | $-1.67437$ |

changes with variation of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$, which subsequently effects on the overall performance of CZTS TFSCs. The effects of variable $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ on the CZTS TFSC performance are shown in Fig. 4. As shown, both CZTS devices with $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with carrier concentration
of $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ show similar and almost identical linearly decreasing PCE from $25 \%$ to $5 \%$ with the increase in $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{g}$. The lack of distinguished outcome is due the default thickness of 50 nm and a moderate doping level of $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ used for these simulations. It is observed that only at


Fig. 4. Effects of $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ on the PCE of CZTS TFSC with $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer with carrier concentration of $10^{16}$ and $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (a) p-type (b) n-type.
higher doping levels, the two different conductivity types demonstrate distinguishing phenomenon whereby the device with $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer with higher carrier concentration of $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ exhibits comparatively low PCE at lower values of $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ as depicted in Fig. 4. At this carrier concentration, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of 1.55 eV and above results in nonworking device (PCE of 0\%).

Fig. 5 illustrates the equilibrium band alignments for $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS structure for p and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with variable $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ values. The calculated interface and band alignment related electronic parameters for p and $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ in the investigated variable $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ values range are tabulated in Tables 4-7, respectively.

Variation in $\chi$ shifts the whole band structure of a material upward or downward as shown in Fig. 5. This in turn has effect on $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction and $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ of the Mo / $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ metal-semiconductor junction (Sze and $\mathrm{Ng}, 2006$ ). For instance, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\chi$ of 4.6 eV has $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ of -0.39 eV and 0.16 eV , respectively whereas $\chi$ of 3.9 eV creates 0.31 eV and -0.54 eV as well as the resulting changes in $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as shown in the Tables 4 and 5. For the $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ which forms anisotype heterojunction with p-CZTS, lower values of $\chi$ results in positive $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$, which suggest limited electron flow from the lower energy conduction band of CZTS to the higher energy conduction band of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. This phenomenon is beneficial as inhibition of electron flow towards the back contact is desired. On the other hand, higher $\chi$ results in negative $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ indicating spontaneous electron flow from the higher energy conduction band of CZTS to the lower energy conduction band of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. This affects the device performance as electron flow towards the back contact could result in recombination of charge carriers. This effect of charge carrier recombination is exaggerated when population of electron increases due to the alteration of doping concentration from $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ to $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ as observed. The transition of $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ value from positive to negative with lowering of $\chi$ also suggests the ease of hole flow from CZTS to back contact through $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. This supports the results obtained from simulations as observed in Fig. 4. On the other hand, for the p-MoS ${ }_{2}$, lower $\chi$ yields negative $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ indicating ease of hole flow from the higher energy
valence band of CZTS to the lower energy valence band of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. The intended direction of hole flow during charge carrier separation is towards back contact. Higher $\chi$ provides the positive $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$, which corresponds to an energy barrier for the lower energy holes of CZTS towards the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. This inhibition of hole flow towards back contact is undesired and detrimental for effective carrier collection. This hole barrier phenomenon has been observed in earlier works (Dhakal et al., 2015). The effect of hole barrier can be overcome slightly by increasing overall hole population through doping increase from $10^{16}$ to $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ which is observed by the slight improvement in the device performance as seen in Fig. 4. The significant difference in device performance due to variation in doping level is observed for $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ compared to the p $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, which can be explained from the perspective of isotype/anisotype junction that they form with CZTS layer. When the majority and minority carriers are same in adjacent layers of p-CZTS and p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ with an isotype junction, the effect of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is not that drastic when compared to anisotype junction between p-CZTS and $n-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ where majority and minority carriers in adjacent layer are different and any modest change in doping level $>10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ can have a huge impact on the carrier flow and device performance. This is due to the magnitude of the $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS heterojunction as tabulated in Tables 4 and 5 . The $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ generally forms back diode with higher negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ compared to $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, which is in turn, inhibits the hole transport from the CZTS layer to the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer. Although, positive $\mathrm{qV}_{\text {biback }}$ has been observed for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with high $\chi$ of 4.6 eV , which is supposedly to act as a beneficial back surface field, degradation PCE is still observed due to the positive $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and high $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ value. High $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are responsible for inhibition of hole transport from the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ to the Mo back contact which stems from the variation in $\chi$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. Given a fixed doping profile and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, change in $\chi$ means change in work function. For an $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{Mo}$ junction, $\Phi_{\mathrm{m}}>\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ results in rectifying contact. Given a $\Phi_{\mathrm{m}}$ of 4.5 eV , any $\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}<4.5 \mathrm{eV}$ yields a rectifying contact. For a moderate doping of $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of 1.27 eV , any $\chi<4.38 \mathrm{eV}$ would result in rectifying contact. As the $\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ becomes higher with increasing $\chi$, the junction goes through a transition from
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Fig. 5. Equilibrium band alignment of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ in various $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ (a) bulk $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at $\chi$ of 3.9 eV (b) bulk p-MoS $\mathrm{Ma}_{2}$ at $\chi$ of 4.6 eV (c) bulk n-MoS at $\chi$ of 3.9 eV (d) bulk $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at $\chi$ of 4.6 eV (e) bulk p- $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $1.2 \mathrm{eV}(\mathrm{f})$ bulk $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $1.7 \mathrm{eV}(\mathrm{g})$ bulk $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $1.2 \mathrm{eV}(\mathrm{h})$ bulk $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS} \mathrm{S}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of 1.7 eV .
rectifying to ohmic. Owing to increasing ease of electron flow towards ohmic back contact due to the negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$, the performance goes down as evident from the simulation result. Furthermore, for n- $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\chi$ higher than $4.38 \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ becomes negative indicating that the photo-generated electron in the vicinity of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ can readily diffuse into Mo metal due to the absence of retarding potetnial barrier (positive $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ). Whereas, for a p- $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ Mo junction, $\Phi_{\mathrm{m}}<\Phi_{\mathrm{p}}$ yields a rectifying contact which is undesired in case of hole flow. Any $\chi$ more than 3.4 eV forms a rectifying contact. So, p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ always forms a rectifying contact with Mo in our investigated range (3.9-4.6 eV) of $\chi$. However, the trend of degrading performance with higher $\chi$ was also observed for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{Mo}$ junction due to the fact that $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ increases with the increase in $\chi$. Given a fixed carrier concentration and thickness, this increase in $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{o}}$ results in further obstruction of hole flow towards back contact resulting in inferior PCE.

Calculated interface electronic parameters due to the variation in the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ are shown in Tables 6 and 7. Change in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ usually translates in simultaneous change in $E_{c}, E_{v}$, and $E_{f}$. Increase in $E_{g}$ can be seen as upshifting of $E_{c}$ and/or downshifting of $E_{v}$ and appropriate shifting of $E_{f}$ according to the type of doping. In this study, increase in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is assumed to be due to downshifting of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ due to the constant $\chi$. Therefore, increase in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ does not change the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{fn}}$ of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ hence resulting in constant $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$. As seen, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is already detrimental as it forms a back diode with p-CZTS which opposes the working built-in potential of p-CZTS/n-CdS primary diode. However, $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ can be further exacerbated by increasing doping level that can upshift $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{fn}}$ even higher close to conduction band. Moreover, with increasing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, even bigger detrimental effect of higher $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ is induced because, hole as majority carrier carries more significance towards back contact. As $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ goes higher, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ shifts lower and increasing positive value

Table 4
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{bulk} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ for various $\chi$ of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  | $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}: 10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | -0.1 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 0.67 | 0.77 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 1.17 | 1.27 | 1.37 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV 。 | 0.53037 | 0.63037 | 0.73037 | 0.83037 | 0.93037 | 1.03037 | 1.13037 | 1.23037 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.01 | -0.09 | -0.19 | -0.29 | -0.39 |
|  | p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ /CZTS | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.54 | -0.44 | -0.34 | -0.24 | -0.14 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.16 |
|  | p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ /CZTS | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -0.60480 | $-0.50480$ | $-0.40480$ | $-0.30480$ | $-0.20480$ | $-0.10480$ | -0.00480 | 0.09520 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}: 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | -0.1 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 0.67 | 0.77 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 1.17 | 1.27 | 1.37 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV o | 0.64959 | 0.74959 | 0.84959 | 0.94959 | 1.04959 | 1.14959 | 1.24959 | 1.34959 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.11 | $0.01$ | -0.09 | $-0.19$ | -0.29 | $-0.39$ |
|  | p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ /CZTS | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.54 | $-0.44$ | -0.34 | -0.24 | -0.14 | -0.04 | 0.06 | $0.16$ |
|  | p-MoS 2 /CZTS | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -0.48559 | $-0.38559$ | -0.28559 | -0.18559 | -0.08559 | 0.01441 | 0.11441 | 0.21441 |

Table 5
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{bulk} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ for various $\chi$ of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  |  | $\chi(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3.9 | 4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}: 10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | $-0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 0.67 | $0.77$ | $0.87$ | $0.97$ | $1.07$ | $1.17$ | $1.27$ | $1.37$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qVo | 0.46037 | 0.36037 | 0.26037 | 0.16037 | 0.06037 | -0.03963 | -0.13963 | -0.23963 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.01 | -0.09 | -0.19 | -0.29 | -0.39 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.54 | -0.44 | -0.34 | -0.24 | -0.14 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.16 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -1.59555 | $-1.49555$ | -1.39555 | -1.29555 | -1.19555 | -1.09555 | -0.99555 | -0.89555 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}: 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | -0.1 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ | $0.67$ | $0.77$ | $0.87$ | $0.97$ | $1.07$ | $1.17$ | $1.27$ | $1.37$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV 。 | 0.57959 | 0.47959 | 0.37959 | $0.27959$ | $0.17959$ | $0.07959$ | $-0.02041$ | $-0.12041$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.11 | $0.01$ | -0.09 | -0.19 | -0.29 | -0.39 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.54 | -0.44 | -0.34 | $-0.24$ | -0.14 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.16 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -1.71477 | $-1.61477$ | $-1.51477$ | $-1.41477$ | $-1.31477$ | $-1.21477$ | $-1.11477$ | $-1.01477$ |

of $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$, which prohibits hole flow from CZTS to $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ towards the back contact. These too correlate with results from Fig. 4 and existing literature (Seo et al., 2014). Change $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ usually has similar effect for a p$\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ as its $n$ counter type. A p-MoS ${ }_{2}$ has isotype junction with p-CZTS. A p- $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ has the added advantage of having the back diode condition lesser negative values, resulting in slightly better performance. The magnitude of the effect of doping level varies with the conductivity type of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer due alteration in heterojunction type as discussed in earlier section. Change in $E_{g}$ doesn't seem to have direct effect on $M o / n-$ $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ junction owing to the unchanging conduction band energy level of $n-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$. The overall decrease in performance with increase in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ may have been mainly due to adverse $n-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS junction as discussed before. For a $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ junction, increase in $\mathrm{MoS}_{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ can translate into increase in work function due to the possible downshift in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{fp}}$. This results in increase in $\Phi_{\mathrm{Bp}}$ and $\mathrm{q} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}}$ with increasing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, which compounds the inhibition of hole flow to the back contact. However, increased hole population by higher doping (from $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ to $10^{18}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ) can yield slightly better performance for $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with higher $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ as observed from Fig. 4 due to the comparatively beneficial lower negative $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ of the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ heterojunction.

## 4. Conclusions

This numerical study demonstrates effect of both n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ and
p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with varying material properties on the performance of a kesterite CZTS thin film solar cell. Numerical simulations were performed to analyse the dependence of the TFSC output parameters such as $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{oc}}, \mathrm{FF}$, and PCE to a wide range of thickness, carrier concentration, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\chi$ of both possible types of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ that can be formed between CZTS absorber and back contact. From this study it was found that formation of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ interfacial layer largely improves the performance of CZTS TFSC compared to device without any $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer. This is due to the lower back contact barrier formation between $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ associated to $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{CZTS}$, regardless of the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ conductivity type. It was identified that the p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of 1.2 eV and high carrier concentration of $10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ can be beneficial for the CZTS TFSC in contrast to an n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ that can have an adverse effect on overall performance as a direct result of the n-p-n structure. It was also shown that the $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer needs to be very thin with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}<10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ in order to be effective or operational. On the other hand, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ with lower $\chi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ values of 3.9 eV and 1.2 eV are desirable for both p and n-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ due to favourable band alignment condition especially CBO and VBO. This study shows the prospect of p-type $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ as a beneficial back contact buffer layer. It also provides a limiting condition for $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ if formation of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ is absolutely unavoidable. Thus, this analysis might be a promising approach towards managing interfacial $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ layer in CZTS TFSCs and ultimately achieving higher energy conversion efficiencies.

Table 6
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} /$ bulk $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} /$ CZTS in various $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  |  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}: 10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | $1$ | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qV o | 0.56037 | 0.66037 | 0.76037 | 0.86037 | 0.96037 | 1.06037 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.51 | -0.41 | -0.31 | -0.21 | -0.11 | -0.01 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\mathrm{qV}_{\mathrm{bi}_{\text {back }}}$ | -0.57480 | $-0.47480$ | $-0.37480$ | $-0.27480$ | $-0.17480$ | $-0.07480$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}: 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bn }}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | $\Phi_{\text {Bp }}$ | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ | qVo | 0.67959 | 0.77959 | 0.87959 | 0.97959 | 1.07959 | 1.17959 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | -0.51 | -0.41 | -0.31 | -0.21 | -0.11 | -0.01 |
|  | $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ | $\mathrm{q}^{\text {bi }}{ }_{\text {back }}$ | -0.45559 | $-0.35559$ | -0.25559 | -0.15559 | -0.05559 | 0.04441 |

Table 7
Calculated interface electronic parameters of $\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{bulk} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2} / \mathrm{CZTS}$ in various $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

| Band Diagram Parameters (eV) |  |  | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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